

Bitterness and Individual Differences: Why One Test Cannot Define Tasting Expertise

Culinary Judgment and Taste Chemistry — Ahmad Issam Maadarani

Official article information

Article author Ahmad Issam Maadarani	Article number 8339	 Scan to verify the article
Article code —	Protection number —	
Reference —		

By **Ahmad Issam Maadarani**

This essay separates sensitivity to specific compounds from culinary evaluation competence. It discusses TAS2R38 research without turning individual differences into an unsupported professional hierarchy.

1. What the study establishes

Bufe and colleagues linked differences in PTC and PROP bitterness to TAS2R38 variants using human measurements and receptor experiments [\[BUFE05\]](#). The compounds are specific. Stronger perception of one does not establish better detection of every taste or fairer quality judgments. Moving from sensitivity to professional competence requires separate evidence.

2. Sensitivity is not judgment

Detecting a weak effect may help particular tasks without guaranteeing accurate description, appropriate weighting or consistent decisions. Greater sensitivity may be troublesome if a personal experience is presented as universal. Competence includes understanding the question, language, repeatability and criterion use alongside relevant sensory abilities. One indicator does not automatically combine all these capacities.

3. Hypothetical example

Two judges disagree about a vegetable's bitterness: persistent and prominent to one, mild to the other. Do not declare the first more professional merely because the effect felt stronger. Review samples, conditions and terms, retaining an intensity difference if it remains. A panel can describe an experience range while stating the audience or criterion supporting its final decision.

4. Training that respects variation

Use familiar edible foods suitable for participants, examining repetition and vocabulary within specified tasks. This essay offers no home recipe for consuming receptor-test compounds and does not recommend genetic classification of professional eligibility. Researching sensory variation requires an appropriate design and oversight, not a recreational test granting a comprehensive tasting title.

5. Documenting bitterness

Record onset, persistence and relationships to aroma and texture, then separate liking from intensity. Bitterness may express the desired sensory identity or dominate another purpose. "Bitter" cannot identify a chemical compound. Similar overall intensity can coexist with different temporal forms, so one number need not summarise the comparison.

6. A reference position

Use individual differences to interpret data rather than construct authority without evidence. Specify what the task measures and excludes. Evaluate judging expertise through relevant performance, keeping sensitivity as one limited component. This

professional argument is not a direct finding of the molecular study and requires independent practical assessment.

7. A Discussion Worksheet

Field	Question	What to Record
Sensitivity	To a compound or product?	Boundaries of the tested stimulus.
Competence	Which professional task?	Repetition, description and criterion use.
Difference	Does intensity imply superiority?	Individual variation without hierarchy.

Philosophical arguments belong to the author. Applications and worksheets are unvalidated proposals, and examples are hypothetical. This article presents no new experimental dataset, claim of worldwide priority or claim that this text has undergone peer review.

8. References and Source Limits

1. **[BUFE05]** Bufer, B., et al. (2005). The molecular basis of individual differences in phenylthiocarbamide and propylthiouracil bitterness perception. *Current Biology*. [Original research](#)

Human measurements of two specified compounds and receptor experiments; not a comprehensive tasting-ability test. Source use: publication metadata and abstract; the full text was not reviewed for this edition.

Suggested citation: Maadarani, Ahmad Issam (2026). "Bitterness and Individual Differences: Why One Test Cannot Define Tasting Expertise". Analytical essay, version 1.0, 4 October 2026.

© 2026 Ahmad Issam Maadarani.