

The Chemistry of Sweetness and Its Limits: From Receptor Response to Dessert Judgment

Culinary Judgment and Taste Chemistry — Ahmad Issam Maadarani

Official article information

Article author Ahmad Issam Maadarani	Article number 8336	 Scan to verify the article
Article code —	Protection number —	
Reference —		

By Ahmad Issam Maadarani

This essay distinguishes molecular response, perceived sweetness and product quality. It proposes comparisons that acknowledge changes in texture and aroma accompanying changes in sweetening.

1. A specific scientific finding

Li and colleagues showed that human T1R2/T1R3 responds in functional tests to diverse sweet stimuli [LI02]. This concerns reception, not a universal equation translating each sweetener dose into a fixed experience in every food. It does not establish that sweetness intensity alone explains acceptance or that receptor knowledge identifies the best dessert formulation.

2. Three different quantities

Added amount is a recipe property; response in a receptor assay is a property of that experimental system; human sweetness perception is contextual. These levels are not interchangeable. Delayed effects, aromas and texture may shape the overall experience. Specify whether the comparison asks about intensity, temporal form or suitability for the dessert's purpose.

3. Hypothetical example

Two cream desserts use different sweetening approaches. If firmness and aroma also change, liking differences cannot automatically be assigned to sweetness alone. Describe onset, finish, texture and aroma, and state whether complete products or an isolated factor are being compared. A version can reach a reference intensity yet fail to provide a coherent experience.

4. Meaningful comparison

Specify portion, temperature and waiting time, holding the recipe as constant as practicable. If texture cannot be maintained when replacing sweetening, record the difference rather than claiming equivalence. Collect intensity judgments separately from liking with coded samples and balanced order. Do not impose a universal substitution ratio: the intended equivalence needs measurement in the tested matrix.

5. Inference limits

Sweetness cannot establish nutritional value or health effects through tasting. Individual differences do not imply that one person has a “better” receptor; explaining them requires separate research. Findings on particular stimuli do not produce a universal sweetener preference list. Culinary assessment remains about the product, purpose and audience under specified conditions.

6. Application to judging

If supported, report that sweetness dominates the aroma required in this formulation rather than calling its sweetener chemically wrong. Separate observation, explanation and

proposed correction. Receptor knowledge explains a background mechanism, while dessert quality requires examination of actual relationships within the product. This professional application has not been validated as a scoring system.

7. A Discussion Worksheet

Field	Question	What to Record
Amount	What was added?	Declared recipe composition.
Perception	When and how did sweetness appear?	Intensity and time course.
Quality	What is its product function?	Relation to purpose and other dimensions.

Philosophical arguments belong to the author. Applications and worksheets are unvalidated proposals, and examples are hypothetical. This article presents no new experimental dataset, claim of worldwide priority or claim that this text has undergone peer review.

8. References and Source Limits

1. **[LI02]**Li, X., et al. (2002). Human receptors for sweet and umami taste. PNAS, 99(7), 4692–4696. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.072090199.[Original research](#)

Functional experiments with human receptors; not a culinary judging trial. Source use: publication metadata and abstract; the full text was not reviewed for this edition.

Suggested citation: Maadarani, Ahmad Issam (2026). “The Chemistry of Sweetness and Its Limits: From Receptor Response to Dessert Judgment”. Analytical essay, version 1.0, 4 October 2026.

© 2026 Ahmad Issam Maadarani.