

Taste, Flavour and Oral Sensation: A Map for Clearer Culinary Reports

Culinary Judgment and Taste Chemistry — Ahmad Issam Maadarani

Official article information

Article author Ahmad Issam Maadarani	Article number 8335	 Scan to verify the article
Article code —	Protection number —	
Reference —		

By **Ahmad Issam Maadarani**

This essay separates taste from smell, pungency and texture, then reconnects them within flavour. Judges should describe an experienced effect before attributing it to a mechanism or ingredient.

1. One experience, multiple sources

Everyday language calls aromas, heat and textures things we “taste”. That is understandable but analytically insufficient. Taste concerns reception of tastants; smell concerns olfactory stimulation; oral experience also includes mechanical, thermal and other chemical sensations. Flavour is integrated experience, not an exact synonym for sweetness or a sum of independent scores that can automatically be added.

2. Different evidence

Receptor research investigates sweet and umami mechanisms [\[LI02\]](#); another study concerns capsaicin and heat reception [\[CATERINA97\]](#); human research examines responses to odours delivered through different routes [\[SMALL05\]](#). These are differently designed studies. Together they motivate distinct questions, but they are not one experiment demonstrating how dishes should be ranked for quality.

3. Hypothetical example

“Strong” soup may mean salty taste, spice aroma, pungency or serving temperature. Identify the elevated effect, its onset and persistence. If pungency obscures aromatic transitions, adding salt is not a correction logically established by the description. Each modification needs its own question, and a single addition may affect several dimensions simultaneously.

4. A multidimensional record

Record taste, aroma, oral chemical sensation, texture and actual temperature, then describe integration. Do not combine dimensions into one score before defining their relationship to the task. Allow “source unresolved” when it cannot be isolated. Precise description does not require naming a receptor; it requires avoiding claims about a mechanism that has not been examined.

5. What separation does not prove

The distinctions do not imply that senses operate independently in natural eating or that changing one dimension leaves the others constant. Temperature changes may alter viscosity, aroma and sensation together. Separate categories organise observations, after which relationships need examination in the real product. Receptor explanations do not diagnose tasters or establish food safety.

6. The map's value

A useful report identifies plausible sources, recognition limits and culinary function. “Strong” becomes a set of answerable questions, improving the possibility of precise

dialogue between cook and judge. This is a proposed teaching framework; its communication benefits and burden on natural tasting require evaluation rather than assumption.

7. A Discussion Worksheet

Field	Question	What to Record
Property	What does “strong” mean?	The sensory dimension.
Source	Isolated or inferred?	Clarity of attribution.
Integration	How did dimensions work together?	A functional description without automatic addition.

Philosophical arguments belong to the author. Applications and worksheets are unvalidated proposals, and examples are hypothetical. This article presents no new experimental dataset, claim of worldwide priority or claim that this text has undergone peer review.

8. References and Source Limits

1. **[LI02]**Li, X., et al. (2002). Human receptors for sweet and umami taste. PNAS, 99(7), 4692–4696. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.072090199.[Original research](#)

Functional experiments with human receptors; not a culinary judging trial. Source use: publication metadata and abstract; the full text was not reviewed for this edition.

2. **[CATERINA97]**Caterina, M. J., et al. (1997). The capsaicin receptor: a heat-activated ion channel in the pain pathway. Nature, 389, 816–824. DOI: 10.1038/39807.[Original research](#)

Mechanistic capsaicin and heat reception research; not a culinary quality scale. Source use: publication metadata and abstract; the full text was not reviewed for this edition.

3. **[SMALL05]**Small, D. M., et al. (2005). Differential neural responses evoked by orthonasal versus retronasal odorant perception in humans. Neuron, 47(4), 593–605. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.07.022.[Original research](#)

Human functional imaging; some differences depend on route and odour identity.
Source use: publication metadata and abstract; the full text was not reviewed for this edition.

Suggested citation: Maadarani, Ahmad Issam (2026). "Taste, Flavour and Oral Sensation: A Map for Clearer Culinary Reports". Analytical essay, version 1.0, 4 October 2026.

© 2026 Ahmad Issam Maadarani.



IUOAMC
International Union Of
Arab Master Chefs