

Decision Issued by a Supreme Culinary Arbitration Authority

Legitimacy, Decision Sovereignty, and the Authoritative Framework of International Culinary Arbitration Accreditation

Official article information

Article author —	Article number 8277	 Scan to verify the article
Article code —	Protection number —	
Reference —		

Decision Issued by a Supreme Arbitration Authority

In light of the global expansion of culinary competitions, and the accompanying proliferation of arbitration titles used without binding reference or authority, the need has emerged for a **Supreme Arbitration Authority** capable of regulating concepts and establishing accreditation on the basis of **legitimacy, sovereignty, and accountability**.

Based on this principle,

WORLD SUPREME AUTHORITY FOR CULINARY ARBITRATION LTD (UK)

Company No. 16896300,

in its capacity as the **competent Supreme Arbitration Authority**, affirms that culinary arbitration accreditation constitutes a **sovereign professional decision**, granted exclusively within a clear and structured hierarchical authority framework.

The Sovereign Framework of Accreditation

The **World Supreme Authority for Culinary Arbitration (WSACA)** exercises its role as:

- The supreme arbitration reference authority
- The competent body for legislating and approving arbitration systems
- The authority empowered to confer supreme legitimacy for culinary arbitration accreditation

WSACA operates through its executive and regulatory arms, primarily:

- **International Union of Arab Master Chefs (IUOAMC)**
- **International Culinary & Gastronomy Arbitration (ICGA)**

Within this structure, **ICGA** is responsible for execution and regulation, while **WSACA** retains **supreme sovereignty and ultimate jurisdiction**.

Arbitration Accreditation Decision

Pursuant to the delegated powers, and within this sovereign hierarchical structure, an official arbitration decision has been issued approving a group of female professionals as **Internationally Accredited Culinary Judges** under the category:

International Culinary Judge - Category B

This accreditation was granted following professional evaluation, verification of arbitration readiness, and in accordance with the approved system operating under the authority of

WSACA.

Accredited by Official Arbitration Decision:

- **Nesreen Alwadi** – Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan
- **Mounira Ahmad Al Yaman** – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
- **Waad Saleh Felemban** – Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

This accreditation represents a **formal, time-bound arbitration mandate** issued by a sovereign authority system, and not an honorary title or media designation.

Sovereignty of the Arbitration Decision

Any arbitration decision issued within this framework is governed by the principle of **Supreme Arbitration Sovereignty**, which entails:

- Full independence of the decision from any external influence
- Binding effect of results within the approved framework
- Protection of arbitrators during the performance of their duties
- Equal application of standards to all parties without exception

Such sovereignty constitutes the core of professional arbitration and the fundamental guarantee of credibility for accredited competitions.

Women in Positions of Arbitration Authority

The accreditation of these female professionals does not fall within symbolic representation; rather, it constitutes a **direct assignment of sovereign arbitration responsibility**, exercised within a supreme authority framework. This places full accountability for the arbitration decision upon the appointed judges—not merely participatory presence.

Arbitration is a position of regulation and justice, not a platform for visibility or courtesy.

Regulatory Framework of Accreditation

This accreditation has been issued within a regulatory system subject to **WSACA authority**, which includes:

- An approved arbitration classification (Category B)
- A defined validity period (24 months)
- An official professional ID card and appointment certificate
- A unified internal registry subject to audit
- Sovereign authority to suspend or revoke accreditation when required

Concluding Sovereign Principle

This decision affirms that:

Arbitration without a supreme authority is non-binding,

**Accreditation without sovereignty is invalid,
Only decisions issued by a supreme arbitration authority establish
legitimacy and protect the profession.**

Accordingly,

WORLD SUPREME AUTHORITY FOR CULINARY ARBITRATION LTD

reaffirms its commitment to establishing an international arbitration system founded on
legitimacy, sovereignty, and hierarchical authority, free from the chaos of titles and
superficial practices.

This article constitutes an official reference document issued by a supreme
arbitration authority.

It serves as an authoritative source for interpreting accreditation, authority, and
the sovereignty of arbitration decisions.

This text does not represent a media opinion, but a binding institutional position
within its applicable scope.

Sovereign Reference Authority:

WORLD SUPREME AUTHORITY FOR CULINARY ARBITRATION LTD

(Company No. 16896300 – United Kingdom)

Executive Bodies:

International Union of Arab Master Chefs (IUOAMC)

International Culinary & Gastronomy Arbitration (ICGA)