

Culinary Judging Between Standard and Authority From Personal Taste to Global Professional Responsibility

Official article information

Article author
احمد المعدراني

Article number
8270

Article code
—

Protection number
—



Scan to verify the article

Reference
—

Reference Introduction

In recent years, the culinary field has witnessed an unprecedented expansion of competitions, programs, and titles. Yet this growth has not always been accompanied by a corresponding maturity in understanding **culinary judging as a regulated professional authority**.

As a result, personal taste, media opinions, and professional judging are frequently conflated—undermining credibility and eroding trust among professionals and the public alike.

This reference article establishes a **clear, authoritative framework** for culinary judging as a standards-based professional responsibility—not a personal opinion nor a transient impression.

1. What Is Culinary Judging?

Culinary judging is a **neutral, professional evaluation process** conducted within an institutional framework and based on:

- Predefined, written standards,
- A transparent scoring system,
- Binding ethical principles, and
- Recognized organizational authorization.

Any assessment lacking these foundations does **not** constitute professional judging, regardless of the evaluator's experience or popularity.

2. The Fundamental Difference Between Taste and Judging

Taste is a legitimate personal experience; judging is a **public professional function**.

Taste

- Subjective and variable
- Non-binding
- Produces no professional consequence

Judging

- Standards-based
- Professionally accountable
- Produces measurable professional impact

Transferring personal taste into a judging role represents a fundamental breach of professional integrity.

3. Why Judging Requires Regulatory Authority

Judging directly affects:

- Chefs' professional trajectories,
- Institutional reputations,
- Competition outcomes, and
- The credibility of titles and certificates.

IUOAMC
International Union Of
Arab Master Chefs

Regulatory authority exists not to dominate, but to **ensure fairness, neutrality, and protection for all stakeholders.**

4. Standards as the Core of Judging

There is no judging without standards. A standard is not a general description, but a structured system comprising:

1. **Technical Standard:** cooking accuracy, food safety, temperature control.

2. **Sensory Standard:** balance, harmony, perception.
3. **Aesthetic Standard:** presentation, contrast, visual honesty.
4. **Ethical Standard:** identity, authenticity, respect for product and consumer.

A judge who cannot interpret standards lacks the legitimacy to rule.

5. Ethics of Culinary Judging

Ethics are not optional—they are **a condition of existence**. Core principles include:

- Absolute impartiality,
- Disclosure of conflicts of interest,
- Non-exploitation of title or position,
- Respect for competitors' professional dignity.

Judging without ethics devolves into corrupted authority.

6. The Risk of Title Inflation

Unregulated use of titles such as *International Judge* or *Culinary Judge* has led to:

- Public misinformation,
- Devaluation of legitimate certifications,
- Confusion among official bodies.

A title without a legal and institutional foundation holds no professional value.

7. Judging as an Independent Discipline

Culinary judging is no longer a mere extension of cooking; it has become:

- An applied professional science,
- A distinct training discipline,
- An academic field in its own right.

This evolution has given rise to structured pathways: diplomas, professional master's programs, and professional doctorates in judging.

8. The Legal Dimension of Judging

Every judging decision may become:

- Subject to objection,
- A matter of dispute,
- A case for accountability.

Hence, legal and institutional frameworks are essential to protect:

- The judge,
- The competitor,

- The organizing body.
-

9. Judging and the Chef's Professional Identity

Sound, professional judging:

- Elevates standards,
- Guides development,
- Preserves culinary identity.

Arbitrary judging, by contrast, suppresses creativity rather than nurturing it.

10. Toward a Disciplined Global Reference

What the profession needs today is not more competitions, but:

- Clear references,
- Documented standards,
- Protected regulatory frameworks.

Here lies the role of serious institutions in clarifying concepts and building a sustainable, responsible judging system.

Reference Conclusion

Culinary judging is not an honorary title,
nor a media privilege,
nor a space for personal impressions.

It is a **professional responsibility, a standards-based authority, and a historical trust.**

Those who reject standards have no place on a judging panel.

Author: Ahmad Maadarani



IUOAMC
International Union Of
Arab Master Chefs