

Taste as Authority: From Individual Sensation to Professional Decision

Cognitive, Ethical, and Professional Foundations of Judgement in Gastronomy

Official article information

Article author —	Article number 44	 Scan to verify the article
Article code —	Protection number —	
Reference —		

Taste has long been treated as an innocent, personal matter—an intimate sensation confined to the palate and immune to questioning. Yet this assumption collapses the moment taste moves beyond private experience and enters the realm of judgment. When taste becomes a decision, it ceases to be a mere sensation and turns into authority. At that moment, it no longer reflects only what is perceived, but what is accepted, validated, rewarded, or rejected. The most critical challenge in contemporary culinary evaluation does not lie in the absence of skill or creativity, but in the lack of awareness of when taste stops being personal and begins to carry professional, ethical, and institutional consequences.

Taste is not a single sense operating in isolation. It is a complex cognitive construct shaped by memory, culture, expectation, language, context, and power. What an individual tastes is never purely what is present on the plate, but what the mind is prepared to recognize and interpret. The belief that “taste cannot be argued” is not a scientific truth but a psychological comfort—one that masks deep layers of unconscious

bias. People do not taste reality as it is; they taste meaning as they have learned to assign it.

In casual consumption, taste remains largely harmless. A diner may like or dislike a dish, and the experience ends there. However, when taste operates within professional systems—competitions, certifications, audits, accreditations, or expert evaluations—it becomes something else entirely. It transforms into a decisive force that shapes careers, reputations, institutions, and standards. In these contexts, taste is no longer a matter of pleasure but a matter of legitimacy.

This transition—from sensation to decision—is the most dangerous and least examined stage in professional gastronomy. It often occurs silently, without formal acknowledgment, and without adequate training. Many evaluators possess confidence but lack methodological awareness. They judge with certainty, unaware that their judgments are filtered through layers of perception they have never learned to question. Experience alone does not grant authority. In fact, experience without critical self-awareness often hardens bias rather than eliminating it.

Sensory deception is not an exception; it is the rule. Color alters perceived flavor. Naming alters expectation. Presentation modifies value. Context reshapes judgment. These phenomena are well documented in sensory science, yet they remain largely ignored in professional judging environments. As a result, countless decisions are made with apparent objectivity but fragile perceptual foundations. Confidence replaces rigor, and authority is assumed rather than earned.

The central question therefore emerges: who has the right to judge? Is it the skilled chef, the seasoned taster, the experienced practitioner? Or is it the individual who understands the mechanics of perception, bias, and responsibility? Culinary excellence does not automatically confer evaluative legitimacy. Likewise, sensitivity of palate does not equate to fairness of judgment. Professional evaluation is not an extension of talent; it is a distinct discipline with its own ethical framework, cognitive tools, and limits.

Taste as authority demands accountability. Accountability means recognizing that professional judgment is never neutral, but must be disciplined. It requires an awareness of how personal preference can unconsciously infiltrate decision-making, and how cultural dominance can disguise itself as universal standard. True professionalism in tasting lies not in eliminating subjectivity—which is impossible—but in understanding it, managing it,

and preventing it from masquerading as objective truth.

The untrained authority of taste is one of the most subtle forms of professional injustice. A single decision can elevate a chef or erase years of work. It can legitimize a methodology or marginalize an entire culinary identity. When such power is exercised without cognitive discipline, it becomes arbitrary, even when intentions are honorable.

This reality necessitates a fundamental shift: from intuitive tasting to cognitive professional tasting. This does not mean suppressing sensory perception, but rather observing it critically. It requires the evaluator to ask not only what they feel, but why they feel it; not only whether they approve, but on what grounds. It is the practice of examining the act of tasting while it occurs, separating sensation from interpretation, and interpretation from judgment.

Such competence cannot be acquired through short courses or informal exposure. It demands structured training, philosophical humility, and the willingness to confront one's own perceptual limitations. Above all, it requires the courage to accept that authority over taste is not a natural right, but a professional responsibility that must be earned, maintained, and regulated.

Taste as authority does not imply rigidity, elitism, or the imposition of personal standards. On the contrary, it calls for intellectual restraint, methodological clarity, and ethical consciousness. Authority without awareness becomes domination; authority with awareness becomes stewardship.

The culinary world can no longer afford to treat taste as a mystical gift immune to scrutiny. Just as medicine, law, and engineering impose strict frameworks on decision-making, gastronomy must recognize that evaluative taste shapes real outcomes and therefore demands structure and accountability. No judge would be allowed to rule solely by feeling, and no physician by instinct alone. Culinary authority deserves no less rigor.

Reframing taste as a professional authority is not an act of control—it is an act of protection. It protects practitioners from arbitrary judgment, institutions from loss of credibility, and the public from symbolic fraud. It restores justice to evaluation and meaning to standards.

Taste becomes dangerous only when it denies its own power. It becomes legitimate only when it understands its limits. When properly trained, acknowledged, and restrained, taste

evolves from a fleeting personal sensation into a conscious professional act—one capable of carrying authority precisely because it knows when not to abuse it.

Only then does taste complete its transformation: from individual sensation to responsible professional decision.

Maadarani, Ahmad.

Sensory Tasting Science and Flavor Evaluation in Culinary Arts.

Specialized scientific book, First Edition, **2019**.

Reference Code:

IUOAMC-MJ-REF-UMAMI-2019

© All rights reserved to the electronic journal and the author.

No part of this work may be copied, quoted, reproduced, or redistributed, in whole or in part, by any means whatsoever, without explicit and clear attribution to the **author's name, the journal's name, and the approved reference code**, in accordance with international intellectual property protection laws.

IUOAMC
International Union Of
Arab Master Chefs